

**Demography of the Cabbage Tree  
Palm, *Livistona australis***

**By  
Nicholas Carlile**

**Submitted in partial fulfilment for the degree of  
Master of Science (by thesis)  
JULY 2002**

## **Certificate of Authorship/Originality**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of candidate

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Nicholas Carlile

## Acknowledgements

The input of the supervisors of this thesis needs to be acknowledged because without their guidance and support this project would not have been able to come to fruition. Dr David Morrison, supervisor of the research, from University of Technology Sydney. Dr Tony Auld was the co-supervisor and Dr David Priddel was the external supervisor, both based at Biodiversity Research and Management Division of NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS). I would particularly like to thank David Priddel, my manager at NPWS, for his substantial support and encouragement through what were often difficult times during the write-up of this thesis.

Field assistance was provided by a number of people associated with the Gould's Petrel Recovery Plan. They were David Silcocks, Frank Zino, John Duranti, Robert Wheeler and Terry O'Dwyer. Others also gave further assistance at the mainland sites, including Alexander Carlile, Anita Zubevic, Tanya Cole and George Malolakis. David Turner, Judy Scott and Richard Scarborough provided information on palm observations from a wider range of sites than I was able to access due to time constraints. Peter Short provided details of clearing activities at Mungo Brush that he was personally involved in during the late 1930's. Anthony Ferguson, NPWS Fire Management co-ordinator, provided opinion on the effects of fire frequency on wet forest vegetation. Larry Wilson, Manager of the Lord Howe Island Board Nursery, provided information on the behaviour of Black Rats. Andrew Jones, NPWS Gosford Fire Officer, gave me access to fire records. Allie Doulton kindly shared his tree climbing techniques that were developed in the defence of the south-east forests of NSW against logging. Alan Morris assisted in the Wyrrabalong NP site selection and also provided unpublished data on Topknot pigeons for coastal NSW, as did David Turner and Tony Rose. The University of Wollongong provided greenhouse space for germination trials. Chris Patterson of Hawkes Nest kindly made available his rainfall data for use in this study. Andrew Denham provided assistance with CurveExpert. Robert Heard provided guidance with some statistical analysis. Dr David Priddel agreed to the use of unpublished data from joint research into palm seedling survival on Cabbage Tree Island. I would specifically like to acknowledge the enormous support provided by my partner Lisa O'Neill, who not only assisted in many aspects of the field program but also provided encouragement during the final writing of this thesis. To her I am deeply grateful.

NPWS provided financial and logistic support and a grant through the University of Technology Sydney assisted in meeting some of the financial requirements.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Certificate of Authorship/Originality .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgments.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1: Introduction to the Cabbage Tree Palm, <i>Livistona australis</i> .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1</b> Introduction to <i>Livistona australis</i> .....	1
<b>1.2</b> Description of the species.....	2
1.2.1 <i>Growth habit and trunk</i> .....	2
1.2.2 <i>Leaf morphology</i> .....	3
1.2.3 <i>Inflorescence</i> .....	5
<b>1.3</b> Review of research.....	5
<b>1.4</b> Research justification.....	6
<b>1.5</b> Thesis aims.....	9
<b>2: Study sites.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.1</b> Study sites.....	12
2.1.1 <i>Cabbage Tree Island</i> .....	12
2.1.2 <i>Mungo Brush</i> .....	14
2.1.3 <i>Wyrrabolong National Park</i> .....	15
2.1.4 <i>Royal National Park</i> .....	16
<b>2.2</b> Additional localities.....	16
<b>3: Factors affecting seed germination in the Cabbage Tree Palm, <i>Livistona australis</i>.....</b>	<b>18</b>
Abstract .....	18
<b>3.1</b> Introduction.....	19
<b>3.2</b> Methods.....	21
3.2.1 <i>Viability trial</i> .....	21

3.2.2	Greenhouse experiment.....	22
3.2.3	Field experiments.....	24
3.2.4	Seed loss.....	26
3.2.5	Analysis.....	27
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Results.....</b>	<b>27</b>
3.3.1	Viability trial (VT).....	27
3.3.2	Greenhouse experiment (GE).....	27
3.3.3	Field experiments (FE).....	28
3.3.4	Seed loss.....	32
3.3.5	Seed viability.....	40
3.3.6	Timing of germination.....	42
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>42</b>
3.4.1	Germination success.....	42
3.4.2	Seed loss.....	44
3.4.2.1	Avian predation.....	45
3.4.2.2	Mammalian predation.....	46
3.4.3	Seed viability.....	47
3.4.4	Timing of germination.....	48
3.4.5	Implications of results.....	49
<b>4:</b>	<b>Seedling survival in the Cabbage Tree Palm, <i>Livistona australis</i>...</b>	<b>50</b>
	Abstract .....	50
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Methods.....</b>	<b>52</b>
4.2.1	Analysis.....	54
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Results.....</b>	<b>54</b>
4.3.1	Seedling survival.....	54
4.3.1.1	CTI caged seedlings.....	55
4.3.1.2	CTI uncaged seedlings.....	55
4.3.1.3	MB caged and uncaged seedlings.....	60
4.3.1.4	Comparison between CTI and MB.....	60
4.3.2	Seedling age based on leaf number.....	62
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>66</b>
4.4.1	Seedling survival.....	66

4.4.1.1	<i>Survival rates over 12 – 30-month periods.....</i>	66
4.4.1.2	<i>Comparison between habitats.....</i>	68
4.4.1.3	<i>Effects of grazers.....</i>	69
4.4.2	<i>Seedling age based on leaf number.....</i>	70

## **5: Estimate of the age of Cabbage Tree Palm, *Livistona australis*, based on growth rates.....**

	<b>Abstract .....</b>	71
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	72
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Methods.....</b>	73
5.2.1	<i>Palm selection .....</i>	73
5.2.2	<i>Measurement technique.....</i>	74
5.2.3	<i>Palms with trunks.....</i>	74
5.2.4	<i>Basal bulge.....</i>	76
5.2.5	<i>Estimate of time for trunk growth.....</i>	77
5.2.6	<i>Estimate of time for trunk development.....</i>	77
5.2.7	<i>Aging of palms.....</i>	79
5.2.8	<i>Canopy cover.....</i>	79
5.2.9	<i>Changes in the girth of adult palms.....</i>	80
5.2.10	<i>Senescence.....</i>	80
5.2.11	<i>Analysis.....</i>	80
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Results.....</b>	81
5.3.1	<i>Estimated time for trunk growth.....</i>	83
5.3.2	<i>Estimated time for trunk development.....</i>	85
5.3.3	<i>Aging of palms.....</i>	87
5.3.4	<i>Canopy cover.....</i>	92
5.3.5	<i>Changes in the girth of adult palms.....</i>	92
5.3.6	<i>Senescence.....</i>	95
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Discussion.....</b>	95
5.4.1	<i>Estimated time for trunk growth.....</i>	97
5.4.2	<i>Estimated time for trunk development.....</i>	100
5.4.3	<i>Aging of palms.....</i>	100
5.4.4	<i>Changes in the girth of adult palms.....</i>	102
5.4.5	<i>Senescence.....</i>	102

5.4.6	<i>Conservation of palm populations.....</i>	103
<b>6: Age structure of Cabbage Tree Palm, <i>Livistona australis</i>, populations .....</b>		104
	Abstract .....	104
6.1	<b>Introduction.....</b>	105
6.2	<b>Methods.....</b>	106
6.2.1	<i>Seedling density.....</i>	106
6.2.2	<i>Relative frequency of trunked palms.....</i>	107
6.2.3	<i>Analysis .....</i>	107
6.3	<b>Results.....</b>	108
6.3.1	<i>Seedling density.....</i>	108
6.3.2	<i>Relative frequency of trunked palms.....</i>	112
6.4	<b>Discussion.....</b>	115
6.4.1	<i>Mungo Brush (MB).....</i>	115
6.4.2	<i>Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP).....</i>	116
6.4.3	<i>Cabbage Tree Island (CTI).....</i>	116
6.4.4	<i>Royal National Park (RNP).....</i>	118
<b>7: Flowering and fruiting ecology of the Cabbage Tree Palm, <i>Livistona australis</i> .....</b>		120
	Abstract .....	120
7.1	<b>Introduction.....</b>	121
7.2	<b>Methods.....</b>	123
7.2.1	<i>A description of inflorescence scarring.....</i>	126
7.2.2	<i>Scarring parameters.....</i>	126
7.2.3	<i>The number and sex of inflorescences .....</i>	126
7.2.4	<i>Frequency of flowering .....</i>	127
7.2.5	<i>The time sequence of flower and fruit.....</i>	127
7.2.6	<i>Quantity of fruit produced.....</i>	127
7.2.7	<i>Widespread failure in fruit production.....</i>	128
7.2.8	<i>Leaf production.....</i>	128
7.2.9	<i>Flowering history.....</i>	128
7.2.10	<i>Analysis .....</i>	129



<b>7.3</b>	<b>Results</b> .....	130
7.3.1	<i>A description of inflorescence scarring</i> .....	130
7.3.2	<i>Emergence of inflorescence scarring</i> .....	132
7.3.3	<i>Assessing the sex of plants from inflorescences</i> .....	132
7.3.4	<i>Frequency of flowering</i> .....	135
7.3.5	<i>Time period between flowering</i> .....	137
7.3.6	<i>Flowering and fruiting phenology</i> .....	137
7.3.7	<i>Quantity of fruit produced</i> .....	140
7.3.8	<i>Widespread failure in fruit production</i> .....	143
7.3.9	<i>Leaf production</i> .....	145
7.3.10	<i>Flowering history</i> .....	148
<b>7.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b> .....	157
7.4.1	<i>A description of inflorescence scarring</i> .....	157
7.4.2	<i>Emergence of inflorescence scarring</i> .....	157
7.4.3	<i>Assessing the sex of plants from inflorescences</i> .....	158
7.4.4	<i>Frequency of flowering</i> .....	159
7.4.5	<i>Time period between flowering</i> .....	161
7.4.6	<i>Flowering and fruiting phenology</i> .....	162
7.4.7	<i>Quantity of fruit produced</i> .....	163
7.4.8	<i>Widespread failure in fruit production</i> .....	165
7.4.9	<i>Leaf production</i> .....	165
7.4.10	<i>Flowering history</i> .....	167
<b>8:</b>	<b>General discussion and conclusions</b> .....	170
8.1	<i>Yearly cycles: Flowering to seed germination</i> .....	170
8.2	<i>Growth rates, aging and population structure</i> .....	171
8.3	<i>Conservation management of palm populations</i> .....	172
8.4	<i>Implications for future research</i> .....	173
<b>9:</b>	<b>References</b> .....	175

## List of Figures

<i>Figure 1.1:</i> Sketch of the lower crown of <i>Livistona australis</i> showing leaf attachment to the trunk and points of measurement.....	4
<i>Figure 2.1:</i> Map of localities mentioned in the text. Inset shows study sites where <i>Livistona australis</i> was regularly sampled: Mungo Brush (MB), Cabbage Tree Island (CTI), Wyrrabolong National Park (WNR) and Royal National Park (RNP). ....	13
<i>Figure 3.1:</i> The proportion of <i>Livistona australis</i> seed remaining while exposed to predation at Mungo Brush over a period of two years. Data are the means of four replicates. Standard error (S.E.) bars are shown.....	36
<i>Figure 3.2:</i> Cumulative percentage of <i>Livistona australis</i> seeds germinated over time: a) viability trial (VT); b) greenhouse experiment (GE); c) field experiment on Cabbage Tree Island (FE <sub>CTI</sub> ); d) field experiment at Mungo Brush (FE <sub>MB</sub> ). Arrow indicates month of sowing. ....	43
<i>Figure 4.1:</i> Proportion of three cohorts of caged <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings surviving over 12 months (a) and 30 months (b) on Cabbage Tree Island (CTI). ....	56
<i>Figure 4.2:</i> Proportion of three cohorts of uncaged <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings surviving over 12 months (a) and 30 months (b) on Cabbage Tree Island (CTI). ....	57
<i>Figure 4.3:</i> Proportion of a single cohort of caged and uncaged <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings surviving over 12 months (a) and 30 months (b) at Mungo Brush (MB). ....	61
<i>Figure 4.4:</i> The mean number of leaves of <i>Livistona australis</i> retained by known age caged seedlings from four cohorts: 1999 at Cabbage Tree Island (CTI <sub>1999</sub> ) and Mungo Brush (MB <sub>1999</sub> ) and two previous studies on CTI commencing in 1993 (CTI <sub>1993</sub> ) and 1994 (CTI <sub>1994</sub> ).....	63
<i>Figure 5.1:</i> Comparison of the estimated number of years required for <i>Livistona</i>	

*australis* palm trunks to grow through a range of height classes at four sites: CTI, Cabbage Tree Island (dots .....); MB, Mungo Brush (dashes ----); WNP, Wyrrabolong National Park (dots with dashes ....-); and RNP, Royal National Park (solid line \_\_\_\_). ..... 86

*Figure 6.1:* Comparison of seedling density of *Livistona australis* in each of six size classes (based on leaf number) at four sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). ..... 110

*Figure 6.2:* The relative frequency of 50 trunked *Livistona australis* in each of seven height classes at four sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). ..... 114

*Figure 7.1:* Mean frequency of inflorescence scars with increasing distance from the lowest scar on trunks of *Livistona australis* at Cabbage Tree Island, CTI (a), Mungo Brush, MB (b), Wyrrabolong National Park, WNP (c) and Royal National Park, RNP (d). ..... 131

*Figure 7.2:* Time sequence of flowering, fruit development and fruit fall observed for *Livistona australis* at three sites Cabbage Tree Island (CTI), Mungo Brush (MB) and Royal National Park (RNP). ..... 141

*Figure 7.3:* The mean time, in years, between each subsequent flowering scar of a sample of *Livistona australis* beginning at the lowest (and oldest scar) where n = 30 or more. Standard error bars shown. ..... 151

*Figure 7.4:* The proportion of *Livistona australis* flowering in every year between 1922 and 1993 pooled into 6-year periods. The same data collected during this study for 1996 to 2001 is shown as the final (dark-grey) bar on the graph. ..... 156

## List of Tables

<i>Table 3.1:</i> Two-factor (mesocarp, light) ANOVA of <i>Livistona australis</i> seed germination from greenhouse experiments. Significant results ( $P < 0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	29
<i>Table 3.2:</i> Four-factor (site, mesocarp, light and burial) ANOVA of <i>Livistona australis</i> seed germination. Significant results ( $P < 0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	31
<i>Table 3.3:</i> Four-factor (mesocarp, light, burial and cage) ANOVA of <i>Livistona australis</i> seed germination at Mungo Brush. Significant results ( $P < 0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	33
<i>Table 3.4:</i> Three-factor (mesocarp, light and burial) ANOVA of <i>Livistona australis</i> seed loss from caged replicates at Mungo Brush. Significant results ( $P < 0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	35
<i>Table 3.5:</i> Repeated measures ANOVA of the proportion of uncaged <i>Livistona australis</i> seed remaining at Mungo Brush over a two-year period. Significant results ( $P < 0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	37
<i>Table 3.7:</i> Two-factor (mesocarp, light) ANOVA of <i>Livistona australis</i> seed germination exposed to predation at Mungo Brush. Significant results ( $P < 0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	38
<i>Table 3.8:</i> Viability of <i>Livistona australia</i> seed from four separate germination experiments: a viability trial (VT), greenhouse experiments (GE); and field experiments at Cabbage Tree Island (FE <sub>CTI</sub> ) and Mungo Brush (FE <sub>MB</sub> ). Residual seeds are expressed as a proportion of the original number of seeds. ....	41
<i>Table 4.1:</i> The proportion of surviving <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings from caged and uncaged experiments established in 1999 at Cabbage Tree Island (CTI <sub>1999</sub> ) and Mungo Brush (MB <sub>1999</sub> ) and two previous cohorts at CTI established in 1993 (CTI <sub>1993</sub> ) and 1994 (CTI <sub>1994</sub> ). ....	59
<i>Table 4.2:</i> The mean number of leaves of <i>Livistona australis</i> retained by known	

age caged seedlings from four cohorts: 1999 at Cabbage Tree Island (CTI <sub>1999</sub> ) and Mungo Brush (MB <sub>1999</sub> ) and two previous studies on CTI commencing in 1993 (CTI <sub>1993</sub> ) and 1994 (CTI <sub>1994</sub> ). SNK results are in italicised lower case letters. ....	64
<i>Table 4.3:</i> Repeated measures ANOVA of the mean leaf number of <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings from three cohorts at Cabbage Tree Island over a two-year period. Significant results ( $P<0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	65
<i>Table 4.4:</i> Repeated measures ANOVA of the mean leaf number of <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings from two cohorts at Cabbage Tree Island over a five-year period. Significant results ( $P<0.05$ ) are underscored. ....	67
<i>Table 5.1:</i> The mean, standard error, range and Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) results for the trunk height (TH), crown height (CH) and girth of <i>Livistona australis</i> at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). Measurements are in metres. ....	82
<i>Table 5.2:</i> Mean annual growth rates (mm) within height classes for trunks of <i>Livistona australis</i> from 1999 to 2001 at four sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). Sample sizes in parentheses. Dashes (–) indicate no data. ....	84
<i>Table 5.3:</i> The trunk height, crown height and estimated age of the tallest <i>Livistona australis</i> within the sampled populations (sample) at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). Any palm within the study site that was found to be taller than those in each sample has been included (site). Range of years in parentheses. ....	89
<i>Table 5.4:</i> The mean crown depth of reproductive <i>Livistona australis</i> at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP) showing standard error and sample size. Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) results are also shown. ...	90

<i>Table 5.5:</i> The estimated age of <i>Livistona australis</i> at the time of their first flowering at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). The range of age is based on the 95% confidence interval. Standard error and sample size are shown. ....	91
<i>Table 5.6:</i> The mean cell frequency from digital images of canopy cover at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). Standard error and sample size are shown. Higher values reflect less canopy cover. ....	93
<i>Table 5.7:</i> Measures of fissures within the trunks of <i>Livistona australis</i> following fire scarring at Royal National Park. Crown height and trunk height of individuals are also included. ....	94
<i>Table 5.8:</i> Senescence and death by accident of adult <i>Livistona australis</i> on Cabbage Tree Island from 10 years of observations. ....	96
<i>Table 6.1:</i> Mean density ( $\text{m}^2$ ) of <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) results are also shown. ....	109
<i>Table 6.2:</i> One-sample <i>t</i> -test of the mean density ( $\text{m}^2$ ) of <i>Livistona australis</i> seedlings of six size classes at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). Underscored <i>P</i> -values indicate a significant result ( $P < 0.05$ ) for comparisons between sites within each size class. Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) results are also shown. Dashes indicate no data for a size class. ....	111
<i>Table 6.3:</i> Mean annual rainfall (mm) at four study sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); Royal National Park (RNP). Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) results are also shown. ....	113
<i>Table 7.1:</i> The sequence of climbing activities for <i>Livistona australis</i> from 1998 to	

2001, and the potential data available for collection on flowering and fruiting. c = collected; i = inferred. ....	125
<i>Table 7.2:</i> Inflorescence scar emergence under the crowns of <i>Livistona australis</i> four years after flowering or fruiting at two sites, Mungo Brush (MB) and the Royal National Park (RNP). ....	133
<i>Table 7.3:</i> The sex ratio (male:female) in reproductive adults of <i>Livistona australis</i> between 1997 and 2000 at four sites: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). Female sample size in parentheses. ....	134
<i>Table 7.4:</i> The proportion of reproductive <i>Livistona australis</i> palms that flowered each year between 1996 and 2001 at each study site: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK) results are also shown. Sample size in parentheses. ....	136
<i>Table 7.5:</i> Pooled data from four study sites of flowering attempts of <i>Livistona australis</i> between 1996 and 2001. ....	138
<i>Table 7.6:</i> Comparison of number of flowering attempts to trunk height in <i>Livistona australis</i> between 1996 and 2001. Sample size in parentheses. ....	139
<i>Table 7.7:</i> The mean number of fruits produced by <i>Livistona australis</i> within four height classes (HC) between 1998 and 2001. Sample size in parentheses. Standard errors in italics for samples greater than 2. No fruiting occurred in 2000. ....	142
<i>Table 7.8:</i> Local populations of <i>Livistona australis</i> palms surveyed for fruiting success in 2000 in four study areas: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). ....	144
<i>Table 7.9:</i> Populations of <i>Livistona australis</i> palms of reproductive size surveyed for fruiting success in 2000 at six locations: Cabbage Tree Creek, Orbost, Victoria (CTC); Gulaga National Park, Bega valley, NSW (GNP); Tilba	

Tilba, Bega valley, NSW (TT); Fox Ground, southern Illawarra, NSW (FG); Stanwell Park, northern Illawarra, NSW (SP); and Booti Booti National Park, mid-north coast, NSW (BBNP). Site location in latitude and longitude. ....	146
--	-----

<i>Table 7.10:</i> The mean annual number of leaves contained within the crowns of <i>Livistona australis</i> for seven height classes (HC) in four study areas: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK) results are also shown. Standard errors in italics. Sample size in parentheses. ....	147
--	-----

<i>Table 7.11:</i> The height of the estimated first flowering event of <i>Livistona australis</i> from four populations: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK) results are also shown. Sample size in parentheses. ....	149
---	-----

<i>Table 7.12:</i> The results of a one-way ANOVA comparing the time between the production of the first inflorescence scar and each subsequent scar on the trunks of 30 <i>Livistona australis</i> pooled from four sites. Site specific rates of trunk growth were used to determine time intervals. Degrees of freedom = 1. Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK) results are also shown. ....	152
---	-----

<i>Table 7.13:</i> A comparison of inflorescence scars from <i>Livistona australis</i> of the same trunk height from four populations: Cabbage Tree Island (CTI); Mungo Brush (MB); Wyrrabolong National Park (WNP); and Royal National Park (RNP). Height in metres. Dates in calendar years. Age in years. ....	153
---	-----

<i>Table 7.14:</i> A comparison of the flowering attempts in <i>Livistona australis</i> from two recent six-year periods. Observed attempts were recorded between 1996 and 2001 and inferred flowering attempts were determined from inflorescence scarring dated between 1988 and 1993. Percentages in parentheses. ....	155
---	-----



## Demography of the Cabbage Tree Palm, *Livistona australis*

### Abstract

This thesis, the demography of the Cabbage Tree Palm, *Livistona australis*, investigated some of the vital statistics concerning seed production and germination, seedling establishment and survival, time taken to reach reproductive maturity, longevity and population structure of the species. Seed survival, germination and seedling establishment was studied at two sites, one mainland location and one on a predator-free island. Greenhouse germination returned 91% success, but this was reduced to 19% by invertebrate attack and infection from soil pathogens *in situ*. The germination of *in situ* seed was not significantly affected by light levels, but burial of seed increased germination threefold (from 17% to 52%) compared to seed on the soil surface. Germination rates were higher with the removal of the mesocarp, but only on an island. At the mainland site mesocarp removal reduced germination success due to predation by the Bush Rat, *Rattus fuscipes*. These same predators did not reduce seedling survival by the end of a 30-month study. Evidence suggested, however, that low seedling survival at this mainland site (20-34%) was caused by insufficient light for photosynthesis after exhaustion of resources from the seed. Less dense seedlings on an island had much higher survival (62%).

Two additional study sites were included in the investigation that compared rates of trunk growth, approximate age based on these growth rates and the flowering and fruiting patterns within and between the four populations. Morphological measurements showed that the taller the palm the larger the crown. The overall size of adult palms was strongly influenced by the local environment. In general, growth was fastest and

more variable prior to palms reaching reproductive age, after which the rate of growth declined and stabilised. The oldest palm was estimated to be 392 years old, and had a crown height of 14.9 metres. The mean age to first flowering from four populations was 170 years. Observations of senescence suggest that accident, by structural failure or breakage of the trunk, is the prime cause of death in natural palm populations. Between the four study sites there was differing distribution of the size classes of palm trunks. Two of the four populations indicated that any disturbance had not yet impacted on the distribution of trunk size classes at these sites. Of the other two sites one presented trunk frequencies that indicated a complex disturbance history while the other, an island study site, indicated that the impact brought about by 90 years of rabbit grazing had not yet become apparent.

This information can provide a basis from which to better manage populations of the species, particularly those at risk of decline due to isolation, harvesting of reproductive adults, frequent fires or other disturbance. Future research would be benefited from larger samples sizes than used here and by a more long-term study of seedling development. The estimation of the time taken for the development of the basal bulge remains the most difficult aspect of palm demographics.